

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/674,224	09/29/2003	Jose M. Sosa	API- 1018-US	2510		
7590 07/08/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER .		
Fina Technology, Inc.			ASINOVSI	(Y, OLGA		
P. O. Box 6744	<del>-</del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Houston, TX 77267-4412				TATERNOMBER		
			1711			
			DATE MAIL CD. 07/09/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/674,224	SOSA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Olga Asinovsky	1711	

		o.ga / tottlettetty	1	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress
THE R	EPLY FILED 16 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
t F (	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or ohis application, applicant must timely file one of the followances the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Now 3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compollowing time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment otice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	, affidavit, or other evide in compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of			
b) [≥	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing dat . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	e of the final rejection.	
been file CFR 1.1 above, i earned	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on ed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a 17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened start of the shortened start of the shortened start of the control of the shortened start of the	and the corresponding amount of the featurery period for reply originally set in	e. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. 🔲 T	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimate a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be DMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37)	e)), to avoid dismissal of	of the appeal.
3. 🛛 (	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, a) They raise new issues that would require further co b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see f ow);	NOTE below);	
	appeal; and/or d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: Excluding honeycomb structures for the h	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
	issues. See attachment .: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and		<u> </u>	
5. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	):	·	
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a he non-allowable claim(s).	illowable if submitted in a separa	ate, timely filed amendr	ent canceling
7. 🔯   	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) now the new or amended claims would be rejected is profine status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-26.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be entered and an	explanation of
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
b	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing ad sufficient reasons why the affi	a Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> davit or other evidence	ot be entered s necessary
е	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing intered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to dishowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessare.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. 🔲 REQU	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	er entry is below or attac	ched.
11. 🗌	The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	ince because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  Other:	Jan Supervis	er Ng(s) nes J. Seidleck Ory Patent Examiner plogy Center 1700	÷ -

Part of Paper No. 0704

Application/Control Number: 10/674,224 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

## Attachment

The amendment filed on June 16, 2005 has been considered. Although the amendment is supported in the previously claimed claim 10, it was not a part of the claims subjected to earlier prosecution. In the Abstract, the invention is high impact polystyrene having a honeycomb structure of rubber with polystyrene inclusions. As indicated in form PTOL-303, the proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration. Reference to Kamath'695 discloses the analogous process including the combination of two different types of polymerization initiators in the controlled amount of said initiators, col. 5, lines 8, 65, col. 15, lines 35-36, col. 12, lines 53-57.

There are no polymerization process conditions. In order to have the amendment entered, the applicant may file a request for continuation examination (RCE) under 37

C.F.R. 1.114. The rejections of record have not been withdrawn.

O.H.